



**Testimony of Kimberly McCullough, Legislative Director  
In Support of SB 640  
Senate Committee on Judiciary  
March 17, 2015**

Chair Prozanski and Members of the Committee:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments in support of SB 640, which reboots our privacy laws to ensure that that online and other digital activities, as well as sensitive location tracking records, receive the same protection as is guaranteed to offline activity.

Electronic communication – through e-mail, cell phones and social media – has virtually eclipsed postal mail and other hard-copy methods as our primary means of communication. Right now, some government agencies interpret our outdated privacy laws to allow them to intercept and access a treasure trove of information about who we are, where we go, and what we do – the information being collected by search engines, social networking sites, cell towers and other websites every day. When government actors do so without appearing before a neutral arbiter and proving that the information they’re obtaining is likely to turn up evidence of a crime – the standard law enforcement would have to meet if they were searching for the same information in the offline world – we believe they are in violation of the 4th Amendment and of Article I, section 9 of the Oregon Constitution.

Similarly, location tracking information – GPS records, cell phone location records, etc. – can reveal very sensitive information about a person’s life. Location tracking records reveal a tremendous amount of detailed personal information about people ranging from which friends they are seeing, where they go to the doctor or how often – and where – they attend worship services.

This bill prohibits service providers from disclosing, and public bodies from obtaining, contents or records of electronic communications and location information unless the government first obtains a warrant or other court order based on probable cause. The bill provides exceptions in an emergency, when the subscriber gives specific consent, or the device has been reported stolen. It also requires public bodies to report on access to electronic communications content to the Legislature.

We urge you to support SB 640. Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.