February 7, 2024

Joint Interim Committee on Addiction and Community Safety Response
Oregon State Legislature
900 Court St. NE
Salem, OR 97301

Testimony in Opposition of House Bill 4002-1

Co-Chair Kropf, Co-Chair Senator Lieber, and Members of the Committee,

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on behalf of the ACLU of Oregon. The ACLU of Oregon is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization dedicated to preserving and enhancing civil liberties and civil rights, with more than 27,000 members statewide.

The ACLU of Oregon opposes House Bill 4002-1, which abandons Oregon’s vital, evidence-based, and human-focused approach to drug addiction as a health issue.

HB 4002-1 would reverse course on Oregonians’ bold and compassionate decision to provide health care to people struggling with drug addiction rather than responding with punishments like arrest and incarceration. HB 4002-1 deals a devastating blow to the nascent yet promising program expansion enabled by Measure 110, which has barely had three years to get underway amidst a global pandemic. As it stands, the proposed bill would re-criminalize possession of small quantities of illegal drugs in Oregon, making possession punishable by 30 days in jail or a $1,250 fine. It establishes a complicated and expensive program that is set up to fail, further delaying what Oregonians actually want — real solutions to the addiction crisis. Moreover, it is all but guaranteed to exacerbate some of the most inhumane and unfair aspects of our criminal legal system: severe racial disparities and the unfair denial of defense attorneys for people who cannot afford them.

Oregon has tried criminalizing possession. We relied on criminalization of possession for decades. Yet when Oregonians passed Measure 110 in 2020, we had the second-worst addiction rates in the country.¹ Criminalization of possession had failed. And the cost of this failure fell disproportionately upon Black, Indigenous, and other Oregonians of color. Statewide, Black Oregonians had twice the rate of convictions of their white counterparts for possession of

methamphetamine, heroin, and cocaine even though Black and white people use and sell drugs at comparable rates.\textsuperscript{2}

Oregon has not fixed the entrenched and systemic racism within its criminal legal system in the few years since the passage of Measure 110. Indeed, the racial disparities in possession citations endure. Data collected by Oregon’s Judicial Department demonstrates that law enforcement issues Class E citations for drug possessions to Black Oregonians at twice the rate of white Oregonians.\textsuperscript{3}

These racial disparities are all the more alarming when considering the dangerous — and even deadly — impacts of drug-related incarceration. Drug-related incarceration poses unique and specific harms to community members. Drug-related incarceration is linked to surges in overdose rates, higher rates of suicide, and the spread of disease, like HIV and hepatitis C.\textsuperscript{4} And according to the Oregon Department of Corrections’ own report to the House Judiciary Committee last year, despite about 50\% of Oregon’s population in custody having a severe treatment need, only about 9\% of people in custody actually receive the treatment they need.\textsuperscript{5}

In making possession of small quantities of drugs for personal use a class C misdemeanor, lawmakers would be returning to a system that wields our carceral system as a cudgel against community members struggling with a devastating health condition: addiction.

When voters passed Measure 110, Oregon was last in the nation in providing treatment and recovery services.\textsuperscript{6} Given Oregon’s decades-long neglect of this infrastructure, a 2022 study found
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that even with Measure 110’s roll-out, Oregon still barely met 50% of people’s addiction service needs. The ACLU of Oregon supports the sections of HB 4002-1 that invest in the addiction services system and the crucial changes it makes to reduce barriers to treatment. At a moment when Oregon’s addiction health care system remains deeply underfunded, however, every dollar we can invest matters. We must also consider the expense of criminalization. The cost of arresting, adjudicating, incarcerating, and supervising a person taken into custody for drug use in Oregon can cost up to $35,217 for a misdemeanor. Comparatively, life-saving treatment only costs an average of $9,000.

The eye-watering expenses for drug-related incarceration do not capture the burden criminalization will place on our overloaded public defense system — a system that already routinely violates low-income Oregonians’ constitutional rights to counsel under the Sixth Amendment. Despite the important investment lawmakers made last year with Senate Bill 337, Oregon’s public defense system remains in crisis. As of this morning’s data, there were 2,738 unrepresented individuals in Oregon. Making personal possession a class C misdemeanor will compound this Constitutional crisis.

Because of Measure 110’s decriminalization, Oregon is expected to recoup 37 million dollars this biennium, money Measure 110 redirects to addiction service providers and the care our communities need. We are grateful for lawmakers’ efforts to continue to invest in this system. But lawmakers must also recognize that the promise of criminalization is illusory. States with more drug arrests do not have less drug use. We must not reverse course.
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Finally, we are further alarmed by the expansion of the definition of delivery to include “possession with intent to deliver” or the Boyd standard. This formalization of a problematic anachronism within Oregon criminal law is unjustified and incongruous with the rest of Oregon criminal law. As applied, we know Boyd was used to sweep up low level “addiction-motivated” dealing offenses of individuals who very much would benefit from treatment and care rather than harsh criminal sentences. Data suggests that **Black people were 4.8 times more likely to be convicted of Boyd deliveries than white people, and Hispanic people were twice as likely to be convicted of Boyd deliveries than white people.**12 These appalling disparities reveal deeply racist impacts, as these demographic groups generally sell drugs at similar rates.13

We urge you to redouble joint committee efforts towards the desperately needed continued investment in and expansion of our care system. Be the leaders Oregon needs for safe and just communities.

Thank you,

Emily Hawley
Senior Policy Associate
ACLU of Oregon
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